ON PAGE A-18

NEW YORK TIMES . 21 JUNE 1978

U.S. Judge Denies Request for a Jury Trial

by Author of Book on C.I.A

ALEXANDRIA, Va., June 20 (UPI)-Fedtral District Judge Oren Lewis today. ment.denied a request by Frank Snepp, a for-1 mer agent for the Central Intelligence; Agency, for a trial by jury and opened his book-publishing trial with a warning that "nobody has got a right to divulge: classified information."

Adm. Stansfield Turner; tht Director of Central Intelligence, then took the witness stand and accused Mr. Snepp of breaking his word and damaging the right to enion this man from doing what agency by publishing "Decent Interval." a book about the fall of Saigon, without C.I.A. clearance.

In a stries of stern rulings, Judge Lewis indicated that Mr. Snepp may have a difficult time beating a Federal lawsuit that seeks to make an example of him as a means of stooping-other agents from writing unauthorized books based on their C.I.A. experience.

In one discussion with Mr. Sneop's atterneys, the judge said, "Nobody has go: a right to divulge-classified information."

A Matter of 'Semantics'

Although: Mr. Snepp's attorneys said the Government had not contended that the book divulgtd any classified information, Judge-Lewis called that a matter of "semantics" and said he disagreed with the defense view of what is classi-

The lawsuit asks to have Mr. Snepp found in rheach of his pledge of secrecy to the intelligence agency by failing to allow the agency to read and censor the manuscript before publication. As punishing The defense attorneys seemed disheartment, the suit asks that all royalties from thed, and one of them said, "Given the

the best-selling book go to the Covern-

At the outset, Judge Lewis dismined Mr. Snepp's request for a trial by jury on the ground that there were no factual disputts to be decided.

he waived as de arguments that free speech issues under the First Amendment were involved and said, "This case is limited to whether the C.I.A. has the legal he acknowleges he has done."

The central issue, the judge continued, is: "Does an individual under the basic law of aufiduciary relationship have a right to gtt inside information, plans, medus operandi, and then resign and divulge it to the whole wide world?"

- भारत Protecting the C.I.A.

At one point, he told Mr. Sneop's attorneys that "we are not going to try the fall of Saigon here," and, cutting off another line of outstioning about unauthorized disclosure of information about the intelligence agency, added: "We are not going to make the C.I.A. be exposed any more than they have bten.'

Mr. Snepp's attorneys said they could show that their client had an cral agreement with officials of the agencyd that superceded the terms of the written storecy oath, but Judge Lewis rejected that line of defense.

"I am not going to permit him to bury the terms of this contract," he said "The contract is very clear."

court's rulings, there is not much I can do here.

In his testimony, Admiral Turner said Mr. Snepp had given him "an unequivocal affirmation" before resigning that he would honor his secrecy oath, and added: took Mr. Snepp at his word. I trusted

Although other agents have clashed with the agency over book-publishing

nishts, Admiral Turner called Mr. Snepp's action "a major case" because it "flaunted" the fact that no C.I.A. censorshi had taken place.

"It therefore helptd to tear down the visible control of information we have at C.I.A.," he said, "In order to maintain your secrets, you must have some visible me ns of comrol. What can be disclosed is a very fine line."